

Introductory remarks

(i) Types of social situations for hybridization:

– power asymmetry

powerful group > powerless group

(e.g. Hup vs. Tucanoan, Yiddish vs. Polish/Ukrainian, Likpe vs. Ewe, Northeastern Aramaic vs. Kurdish)

modern state language > indigenous minor language

(e.g. Sorbian, Saami, Nahuatl, Quechua, Manange in Nepal, and MANY others)

national language > immigrant diaspora language

(e.g. U.S. Norwegian, Pennsylvania German, Turkish in NL)

language of administration > general language

(Arabic > Daghestanian languages, Latin > Hungarian, Italian > Tigrinya, Russian > Uzbek, Japanese > Korean)

plantation owners' languages > labourers' languages

(e.g. Haitian Creole, Mauritian Creole, Melanesian Pidgin)

– prestige asymmetry

emblematic language > everyday language (6)

(Hebrew influence on Yiddish, Irish influence in Standard Irish English)

language of religion > secular language (7)

(Arabic in Indonesian, Pali in Thai)

language of admired culture > language of admirers

(Chinese in Japanese, Persian in Lezgian, English in Korean, French in German, Italian music terms in English)

– range asymmetry

language of wider communication > local language

(Malay in Madurese, English in French, Middle Low German in Swedish)

– symmetry

neighboring national languages(?)

(German/Polish, Italian/French, Thai/Cambodian(?))

neighbouring smaller groups (11)

(Takia/Waskia(?), ...)

multilingualism scenarios

(Africa, Melanesia, ...)

(social situation for **non-borrowing**: purism)

(ii) Types of cognitive processes in individual speakers:

adoption

- speakers adopt elements (forms, patterns) from a less familiar language
- identifiable elements are used more or less consciously for semantic or social reasons (enrichment)

imposition

- speakers impose elements (mostly patterns) from their native language on a second language that they learned imperfectly as adults
- difficult-to-suppress elements from the native language surface unconsciously in the learner version of the recipient language (substrate effect, especially phonology)

assimilation

- speakers assimilate patterns in one of their languages to another language that they know just as well (or better)
- difficult-to-suppress elements from a stronger language are used unconsciously in a weaker language (**pattern copying and metatypy**, serving equi-translatibility)

Social conditions and cognitive processes: Possible correlations

	linguistic material involved	power/prestige/range (a)symmetries	adult/ post-adolescent/children	degree of bi-/multilingualism	time span
adoption	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • loanwords • discourse markers • grammatical markers • affixes (?) 	asymmetry	adolescents/post-adolescents/adults	from low to high	no condition
imposition	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • phonology • grammatical patterns (argument marking, valency) 	asymmetry	adults/post-adolescent second language use	low to non-existent	short period
assimilation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • lexical, grammatical calquing • syntactic structures • wholesale systems 	both asymmetry and symmetry (?)	children/pre-adolescents	high	over more generations